



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,470	02/02/2001		Ioannis Pavlidis	H0001072	7145	
128	7590	02/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HONEYW	ELL INT	ERNATIONAL I	BALI, VIKKRAM			
101 COLUM	IBIA ROA	AD				
POBOX 22	45		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MORRISTO	WN, NJ	07962-2245		2623		

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/776,470	PAVLIDIS, IOANNIS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vikkram Bali	2623		

Advisory Action	09/170,470	FAVEIDIS, ICANINI	,				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vikkram Bali	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
			, , , ,				
HE REPLY FILED 26 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	RST REPLY WAS FILE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered	because				
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>							
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims.					
NOTE: <u>newly added limitation of eye of the personal periorbital region raises new issues for further sea</u>	on representative of blood flow to a	t least eye musculam.	<u>re in the</u>				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	<u>not</u> be entered is necessary				
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to th	e date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	ry and was not earlier presented.	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	(1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
		Vikkram Bali Primary Examiner	My				

Art Unit. 2623

Application No.
Part of Paper No. 20050204